Mr. WARREN: Do you want to say something - just say who you are. I mean, just say it naturally, and see that you're recorded. We are recording. Just tell your names:

SYLVIA DAVIS: I'm Sylvia Davis, Tougaloo College.

RPW: Miss Pool -

BETTYANN POOL: I'm Bettyann Pool, age 20, Tougaloo College, senior.

HALSTON MOORE: Halston Moore, Tougaloo College.

MEMPHIS NORMAN: Memphis Norman, Tougaloo College, from Wiggins, Mississippi.

RPW: Let's see how we did on that - and let us try to forget it, except to speak English. I don't know where we can begin. Let's say - a question like this. What are your expectations of a person who would be - quote - a Negro leader now? Anybody.

Boy: Well, for my part I think that - in terms of aims or goals or purposes of a Negro leader, I think that it should be a projection - that his projection should be not only thinking in terms of a Negroes themselves, but in terms of the entire community in which Negroes and whites live. And I think that the Negro leader should think in terms of the rights of Negroes and the rights of other people in the community. Because you can't just take a - the situation as it is and push something on the other people, just because of the situation that the Negro is in. And I think that, in terms of being a leader, the Negro should think not only in terms of the Negro but in terms of the whole community complex.
RPW: Are you referring to relations in the community at some future date, some picture of society to come, that these are the responsibilities for the Negro leader to have in mind? Yes? Is that what you're thinking about?

GIRL: Well, I agree with Mr. Norman, but I'd also like to add, I would expect a Negro leader to be logical and cool-headed rather than a type leader, because I don't like leaders who like to play on the emotions of the people who their leaders, but to lead them to peaceful demonstrations and not by means of violence, because I think that's the only way we're going to ever get anywhere, and that's through non-violence.

RPW: You know, you'll find people who are going to disagree with you.

GIRL: I know, but that's the way I feel.

RPW: Miss Davis, what do you have to say?

DAVIS: I agree with what Mr. Norman has said and also with what Miss Pool has said, and especially what Miss Pool has said. The non-violent movement has brought us thus far good, I think, and not only this - it has been in such a way that people can respect us. Anybody can stand up and fight for things - I mean physically - but it takes a person who has strong emotions to really withdraw from this, and I think this is what the leadership is taking into consideration also.

RPW: Don't wait to be asked. If you want to say something, just say it, you see. You know, if you've got a question - we don't
all have to speak on the topic, or - just be as natural as possible - just assume we are having a conversation.

BOY: Well, I was going to say that this is more or less a human rights movement, more than a Negro movement. I feel that the leaders - Negro or white leaders - of our country today, in the Negro movement or in the Citizens Council movement - that is, the white movement - should take into consideration the heritage of our country and the belief in a form of democracy that we have to have, whereby we learn to love each other as brothers, rather

than a hatred that has been created here in the South.

GIRL: I don't think it's so much the hatred - I think it's mostly the whites - some of the whites have managed to accept the fact that this is going to happen anyway, no matter what we do. They have got to accept this. And I don't know whether this hatred is embedded within us, this idea, or not, but this is something that's going to happen and they just hate to face it. But no matter how they try to prevent it, it's going to come. And they hate to see it come because some of their rights - I think they feel will be but this is something that has to be accepted.

BOY: You mentioned some of their rights might be taken away. What sort of rights do you think would be taken away?

GIRL: I've talked with some of the people that are - let's say, more or less conservatives, and they feel that if the Negro - some of them feel this way - if the Negro receives some of his civil
rights that—like there will be offices—some of their positions will be withheld or something of this nature. Then I am thinking in terms of we are all Mississippians, and that we all have the same rights, and these are to be expressed by the vote and this sort of thing. We are taking into consideration that I'm ahead, and if I leave something for my

These are some of the views that I have gotten from

RPW: Let me read you a quotation from Dr. Kenneth Clark, you know, the Negro professor of psychology at CCNY— the City College of New York. He has been talking about the Black Muslims, and now he is talking about Martin Luther King, comparing them. This is the quotation: On the surface, King's philosophy appears to reflect health and stability, while the Black Muslims appear to reflect pathology and instability. A deeper analysis, however, might reveal that there is an unrealistic if not pathological basis in King's doctrine. The natural reaction to injustice is bitterness and resentment. The forms which such bitterness take need not be overt but the corrosion of the human spirit seems inevitable. It would seem, therefore, that any demand the victims of oppression be required to love those who oppress them, places an additional and probably intolerable psychological burden upon these victims. He's purely no follower of King. Does that make any sense to you? Clearly not. You have said the contrary, that you are the victim. But how would you answer that? He's saying, to paraphrase it,
that the attempt - it's a natural response - the natural man's response to injury is to resent it. He may not be able to strike back out of fear or some other situation, but it is natural. If you ask him to forgive, it is unnatural and this places a destructive burden on him that will lead to psychological complications and confusions.

GIRL: Well, I can see where the statement is true because I read John Ballard's CASTE AND CLASS on a Southern Town, and I really think this answers too the Negroes who have an accommodation attitude when whites mistreat them, and I have felt the same way quite a few times when I have gone down to demonstrate or just walking through a situation where a color barrier was, and I was rejected and have gone out. But I go along with Gandhi and his philosophy where non-violence is the best technique, because I feel that that's the only way that we can ever really achieve integration through peace and harmony, is through brotherly love, and if you fight violence by, you know - fight violence with violence you're never going to accomplish this.

RPW: Well, do you think this is making you mentally sick?

GIRL: It possibly is. Maybe I'm taking my aggressions out on members of - well, John Ballard says that Negroes take out their own aggression on other Negroes.

RPW: The Saturday night fight.

GIRL: Right. But I don't do this. Maybe I take it out on myself - I don't know. But I really agree with King and his non-violence
techniques, because I want to achieve integration through non-violence in order to get brotherly love.

BOY: If the non-violence attitude would bring about some psychological confusion or frustrations, would you be willing to make such a sacrifice that the generation after you would live in a society much better than the one that you lived in?

GIRL: Well, I don't think that it's possible for them to live in a better society than I'm living in now if we achieve integration through violence because there's always going to be chaos and strife between the races if we don't win it over now, as we're doing, with brotherly love.

BOY: What I meant to say was - through non-violence. If you make a sacrifice - a non-violent sacrifice - and you did accomplish something, and a generation after you would live better than you live, would you be willing to make the sacrifice?

GIRL: Of non-violence?

BOY: With non-violence.

GIRL: Yes - yes, indeed.

BOY: Even with the physical injury and the psychological frustrations?

GIRL: I really would.

BOY: Because I think that the whole purpose of non-violence, to make a sacrifice.

BOY: The sacrifice has to be made. The way I feel about the violent technique - O.K., maybe the non-violence has a psychological
effect upon a person, but I feel that if we start fighting back, it would lead into - there would be more violence - there is less violence than we have now, but when you have only one side fighting - now, if both are going to fight, more likely they are going to start picking up weapons and there would be more deaths. And we're trying to eliminate this by the non-violence technique. I feel that's what King is trying to do in his non-violent actions, and if we have to - if the only way we can achieve our quality is through guns, so to speak - well, just say guns as weapons of violence - I feel that it's not worth it. But our generation will - we'll never be able to put the guns down.

RPW: As Dr. Abernathy said to this question - not to me but to a small group some weeks ago - he said, Besides, the white folks have more guns.

GIRL: Right. They will turn them on the Negroes in America. You know, if we pick up guns and start fighting we'll be wiped out unless they're the weak.

BOY: Ten percent of the population, which makes it twenty million.

GIRL: Twenty million - I thought it was ten million. And then you have to take into account the small kids and older people, so -

BOY: I think what - is it Dr. Clark?

RPW: Kenneth Clark - yes - Dr. Kenneth Clark.

BOY: I think what he's trying to say is that - well, it's a natural behavior of man to fight back against a physical injury,
and not fighting back will - with some inhibitions of/aggressive
- you might say emotion - a rage inside a man - and holding us
back would cause any frustrations. Is that what he is trying to
say?

RFW: It seems so to me. There was a study made over the recent
years - several years - by a psychologist, a psychiatrist at
Howard - I think with outside collaboration - studying the ef-
fects - the psychological effects on the young people who have
been in the sit-ins - the non-violent sit-ins scattered over the
South, you see. Apparently they found that you had actually -
the development of quality of personal integration in the sense
of personal character integration - strength of character, self-
control, self-confidence - rather than sickness.

BOY: Take for example the demonstration I was in. I was beaten
on the floor - and it didn't bother me - no - not psychologically
- I was no frustration or anything like that. I was completely
normal afterwards. I was completely normal, and - well, for my
part it has given me some personal strength I think, to - well
- withstand things - emotional - things that I would get emotion-
ally upset ordinarily seem to have helped out some.

RFW: There are people - if you have a religious and theological
grounding for this - this is purely a personal feeling - it has
nothing to do with Christ's teachings - this is irrelevant to
Christ's teachings for you to turn the other cheek, forgiving
those who smite -
GIRL: I guess - I think that for me there's a tie-in between the two.

RFW: There are people of course who are completely non-believers, not as who still follow non-violence. And that's a tactic, but because of the psychological good - it's another position, of course.

GIRL: And I think it's also physically good, because I've been in situations where a mob could have formed and I wouldn't have fought back. I would have been completely non-violent because if I had resisted I would have been killed, and I'd rather live and get beaten up than get killed.

RFW: Where was this?

GIRL: In the bus station.

RFW: When?

GIRL: This year and last spring.

RFW: Where was this?

GIRL: Well, all the way from Mississippi to Tampa, Florida.

RFW: On The Ride?

GIRL: Right. I went to every bus station, and a couple of white people made nasty remarks, and they started flaunting behind me and standing there, staring me down. But I was in a situation once where one boy was beaten up with me, but I wasn't hit because the white man came over and told me that if I don't want some of the same thing I'd better get out, and that was the first time I had ever been in a situation like this. I wasn't even in the movement, and this is what brought me to the movement, - this situation.
SRW: That episode brought you to the movement?

GIRL: Right. I saw this boy fall on the floor in non-violence, and it touched me somehow, and from then on I was very active in the movement.

BOY: I might bring up another point, Mr. Warren.

RPW: Please.

BOY: Quite a few people in the movement came to the movement through this type of action. You find that, when you see your friend or someone that you know beaten, like the - or even someone just because he’s the same color that you are, he is beaten because of the human right that he has inherited through his birth, to - a human right to do - and you see him beaten there and you can’t do anything, and you feel that you’re a coward or you’re helpless in a situation, and then too, even - for instance, the death of many people - when they killed Medgar Evars and Moore and the kids in Birmingham - things like this - each time the police would bring out a dog to fight you - fight someone - this was shown on television - people heard of these things - people saw these things - this brings more and more people into this movement. This brings more and more people willing to accept that bite from a dog or that beating from a policeman, so that their children will not have to grow up under such - or future generations will have enough - will not have to go on to the same thing. And that's the way I came into - really seeing the problems, whereby I had to find myself, and I couldn't let one person be
beaten while I stood around and did nothing. But then too I couldn’t help them because I was - if I would help them there would just be violence. This brings more and more people into the movement every time, and -

BOY: And morally it looks better, having a non-violent protest, than it does to be waiting in the woods or in a ditch somewhere with a gun and bombs and things, to destroy human life.

GIRL: And most whites seem to - most Southern segregationists - most segregationists seem to think that Negroes are really nothing but cannibalistic savages, and if we started hitting back and fighting, this would only give them more reason to believe this, and this would hurt our cause. And as far as the personal experience - as to what Mr. Moore was referring to - I know that this non-violent movement helped me quite a bit, because I know if everything had been violently done I don’t know whether I would have been as active as I have been. But seeing people actually beaten and restraining from this - physical beatings and all - I said, now, what’s my need in sitting back and seeing this happening - even the day after Medgar Evars was killed - this was my first - this was the first time that I had actually seen one of the demonstrations, and I marched with the people down - we were headed toward town - and really, at that moment it wouldn’t have mattered to me what would have happened, even - I felt that I was ready to give my life. This really helped a lot, and I think this non-violent movement was all we had. I really do.
RPW: Let me change the subject. Here's a quotation from DuBoise - written long ago - but there are many modern variants of this same notion that one encounters from Negroes in writing or in conversation, and I'll read the quotation: The Negro group has long been internally divided by a dilemma as to whether its striving upward should be aimed at strengthening its inner culture and group bonds, both for intrinsic progress and for effective power against caste - on one side. Or whether it should seek escape wherever and however it can in a surrounding culture. The seeds in this matter have been largely determined by outer compulsion rather than inner plan. That is something that - it's not the best quotation on this point. Elsewhere and earlier he had said, the pull toward the sense of an African heritage, the pull toward some mystique of the black, the bond of blood, and the common cultural experience either as a Negro in general or as an American Negro in particular is one impulse. But the Negritude of the new African states Or to oppose that pull, the pull to enter into Western or European American culture as fully as possible and perhaps in the end have the Negro race lose its identity entirely - that is, those who are in that orbit. Be absorbed into their general American blood stream and lose whatever qualities and values that might have been associated with the fact of their being Negro. These are two impulses, - now, there are some who feel that this is a very deep problem - others feel that there is no problem at all. How do you all respond to it?
BOY: I feel that there is no need for me to lose my identity unless - unless I was to lose my identity in the human race, because I am not a - just a Negro - I am a human being. I have certain human rights. If I feel that I want to stay out of - not educate myself to the extent whereby I can accept the bourgeoisie culture of some of the whites in America today - or I have to be superior to them - I mean - not superior to them, but I have to bring my educational standards up above theirs before I can be accepted - I feel that this is not necessary. I feel that we have human rights, as human beings - not that we should try and - we just want what is duly and accepted as ours, as a - we want everything that the white race has, not that/have - we're superior to them or anything like this - we just would like to be accepted on an equal basis.

BOY: Well, in terms of different cultures, I would like to look at it in this particular - from this particular viewpoint. We recognize that the sort of culture that you have in Africa is entirely different from that that you have in Europe. This is due to the physical environment and the geographic environment of the two continents. They would have Negroes being brought to this country in a slave situation, and - well - these slaves never caught onto what we would consider the mainstream of Western civilization in terms of culture of the Western World, and since the Emancipation Proclamation, since Negroes have been free in this country, being segregated against, we have never really gotten
to the mainstream of what we would call American civilization, which is Western civilization, and for my own thinking, the only way that we can have a social system with social solidarity, is to have some similarity in value, and we say that we have a Negro culture and a white culture - values come from the culture - and if we're going to have two cultures and we're going to have different values, and with different values we're going to continue to have a dichotomy in a social system. I think Talcott Parson deals with this quite a bit in terms of values coming from the culture. We say that Negroes want the same things that whites have, and I think that the reason that we've had so much confusion, is that there's a distance between the values that the whites and the Negroes have in this country. And from my own thinking I think that the only way we can have a social system with solidarity and integration is to bring about some similarity in values.

POOL: Well, first I want to say that I am an American, and I do not believe that Negroes should strive for a different culture from their native land. And I think that the Negroes' native land is America. If we had a different culture than Americans we would have only more ghettos and I'm not in favor of that. I'm in favor of integration although I would not like to see the Negro dissolved into the mainstream as far as race is concerned. But otherwise yes.

RFW: Miss Davis, do you want to say something on this?

DAVIS: Well, I'd like - I agree with what Mr. Norman has said -
especially - but I'd also like to add that I think we're all Americans - I mean we - the Negroes - are American, as Miss Pool has said, and that if more of them come to the United States - I mean, rather, to America, and enjoy the rights as the white man, why can't we who have been born and reared in the United States do just likewise? I think this is - has to be considered also, that you don't separate individuals because of their nationality or some - considering this - we were born and we were raised here, and we're Americans whether they want to accept it or not. They rights, personally.

RFW: Let's try this one. This is from James Baldwin. The most trenchant observers of the scene in the South - those who are embattled there - that is, Southern Negroes - feel the Southern mobs are not an expression of the Southern majority will. Their impression is the mob fall, so to speak, into a moral vacuum - fill, so to speak, a moral vacuum. Does that to you have context? That the mobs on the streets and the mobs that run the hassle in Little Rock or in the bus stations that you have seen, do not represent the majority will in the South.

BOY: I'd like to say this - I feel that the South - or the mobs - is only a representation of a select - could I put quotes around this -"select" few of the lower educated people. I feel that no one in their right minds or with a half way decent educational background wants violence to occur. You can't say that that mob out there that's taking on Joe Black to the tree to lynch him is
a representation of the majority of the population - the white
population.

RPW: Well, how will they get to power then? The police of Jack-
son represent the majority will of Jackson.

BOY: The police represent what they have been taught. They have
a job to do - their leaders have taught them what to do and how
to handle it.

RPW: Well, does the mayor represent the majority will of Jackson?

BOY: No.

RPW: He bosses the police, is that it?

BOY: Let's look at it like this, now. The mayor is elected by
the majority of the people, and even Governor Barnett would be
elected by the majority.

RPW: The real majority, or the majority of the voters?

BOY: The majority of the voters.

RPW: That's a little different, isn't it.

GIRL: That's what makes the difference, yes, it is.

BOY: We had quite a few Negroes who were prohibited
from voting, so -

RPW: Forgetting the Negroes - and I say that - the white
stock - the majority of the whites themselves. Now, if this had
been said by somebody else - but it was said, remember, by
see -if anybody had said it - if a white man had said it - if the
editor of some newspaper had said it - it would have been a little
different than coming from a Negro - have you thoughts on this?

GIRL: I don't know if it's really a majority of the whites who
form—that is, the majority opinion who form mobs, but I think it's because of the power structure behind the people in office—well, they dictate to the people in office what they want them to do, and then the people in office see to it—every citizen of the state—well, this gives the common man his ideas about the Negro, and I think psychologically if a person is in a situation where a mob is forming to Negro, well he's got—he's still got his own convictions about segregation and a man from the upper classes or the lower classes join in and lynch him. And it could be the majority, but I think there are a few liberals here in Mississippi and in the South, and I think that most of them want segregation.

BOY: Well, you couldn't say that the mayor would
GIRL: Well, in his speeches he doesn't come out directly and say it, but certainly there's an undercurrent of it.

RPW: The mayor dictates the behavior of the police.
GIRL: And the power structure, because—
BOY: Well, we haven't had any trouble—we've only had trouble—the police in Jackson—we've only had trouble on the streets—we haven't had trouble in the too much.
GIRL: People that too by drunkards and policemen. And girls get raped tooby policemen and drunkards. Now somebody has to give them a key to go in.

RPW: There have been polls taken on the question of segregation in the South, and sometimes they indicate that a majority of the
Southerners are willing to accept integration - at least, desegregation.

BOY: I think what you have in the South is that - a sort of community consensus where people just go along with what a few say.

BOY: Well, I think there's a bulk of the white community which is completely unconcerned about the problem - they don't -

RFW: Withdrawn from it?

BOY: That's right - they're apathetic toward the whole thing, and whether they're integrated or segregated, it doesn't make them any different. And those who do show some concern, say, for example if Mayor Thompson - and if the police do have a control over the power structure, and with the Citizens Council in Jackson - you're not going to have any white businessmen who would take sides with the Negro call - because his business is gone, and he stays - well, we call it a saneness group, where people feel - consolidate together - and they just follow the general consensus without breaking away from it, and some people say what the heck - break away from it anyhow - they have more to lose than to gain. And they say eventually the Negro is going to have his rights anyhow, so why should I suffer now for it.

RFW: Trust to history.

BOY: I would agree with Mr. Baldwin in saying that it's not the majority of the white people in the South who are - take action in mobs against the minority groups.

RFW: Well, now you take action - things of that sort - it does
not represent their will - see - it isn't the will that's represented -

BOY: Well, most times it doesn't even represent the will of the majority. You know, if you have, say, a mob of a thousand people, you might have about a hundred people in there who really want to push this. In every mob there's some who just go along to be in a group.

RPW: Well, now there are people who are staying at home in bed, too - they're keeping away from there - say if you get the people of Little Rock were a small proportion of the - physically - of Little Rock, the white people of Little Rock. But now were they expressing the will of the people who stayed at home, or against them? It's hard to know, isn't it - really?

BOY: Well - to just interject a little something - often we have students who - because there's white churches in Jackson - well, two of them - two of the white churches in Jackson that we can enter, and that's the Episcopal and the Catholic - otherwise, other churches where we're not accepted - their youth leagues - people within a church that will make it their business to stop us from coming in. And then there are other people in the church who will actually come out - if they see the students there - they will come out and say I'm sorry that you can't come in. I'd just like for you to know that this is not the way I feel about this. I feel that the church should be open to everyone. And so - there are a few, and I - there's no way to measure what would
really happen if integration in the church took place - what the feelings would be. But in several instances, the places that have been desegregated quietly - there has been no trouble. Some people would naturally look at them with the hate stares - like John Griffith and so forth - these hate stares - and then there would be people who would come up and say - speak to us and One gentleman even went out of the way to turn around in a church and say - turn to our Negro students and say, well, you have a very fine baritone voice there - some of the things like this. And where there is desegregation in Jackson there has been no violence, except in one library and a few bus stations, but where the people are willing to accept us where they have said, O.K. this is the rule now and we're going to allow anyone to come in here that wants to come - RPW: Did any of you see any irony - any morbid comedy - in the fact that the Freedom March on Washington wound up at the Lincoln Memorial? Was that an appropriate place for it? GIRL: I think it was BOY: I think it was sort of symbolic of the - some people might even think that it had some significance because of Abraham Lincoln. RPW: What were Lincoln's views on race? All: Yes - I know that. GIRL: Yes, he did emancipate us, and I'm grateful to him for that. BOY: But he still believed in segregation. GIRL: Yes, I know that, but he did do something good for us.
RPW: Why did he do it?

GIRL: Well, because -

BOY: Because it was going to hold the country together.

GIRL: Right. And he kept the states - some of them - from seceding from the union.

RPW: Do you find that slightly humorous - to go to the monument of a man who emancipated? Where else could they go?

GIRL: To the White House?

GIRL: I think the Lincoln Memorial is a nice place because - in the first place, even though we don't think that Lincoln had free the Negroes,

and we were demanding a change, and to represent this I think the place was the best place to meet - it brought about a change that was different from at the time of Lincoln, but it still made a different

RPW: Or with a Robert E. Lee.

BOY: He was doing a job.

RPW: He was an emancipationist - he even emancipated his slaves - he didn't believe in it at all - Grant held slaves -

GIRL: Well, where else could we have gone that would have held as many people?

RPW: I'll change it back to Lee now -

BOY: He was a general in the Confederate army -

RPW: He was a general in the Federal army first - and resigned his commission - he wasn't a general - he was a colonel in the Federal
army first, and he resigned his commission - withdrew from the Federal army - so he was an emancipationist - had given up his slaves - didn't believe in it.

BOY: And yet he fought for the Southern cause.

RPW: Well,

BOY: I think it was a political situation, because, well, if he emancipated slaves, he graduated from West Point, and I don't know anything about his life after the Civil War - after he surrendered at Appomattox, but I think -

RPW: peace measure.

BOY: I think - well, he had the situation of the - of states' rights and federalism and - what was constitutional and what was unconstitutional - and did the North have the right to - well, the Negroes were taking his property of Southern land owners and - I think - well, it in some way took away property rights, and it was an executive affairs

RPW: I think it was before the Civil War. Lee had acted - emancipated emancipated his slaves, and Lincoln gave force to it by - as a war measure - it's generally agreed - so - he was inclined in that direction, but he was - no - there's no joker in this deck - I'm just saying that history - historical facts of some interest - what do you make out of it?

GIRL: humane person slaves go

RPW:
RPW: (Section not distinct) I will say, then, that I am not nor ever have been in favor of bringing about in any way the social and political equality of the white and black races. Abraham Lincoln, 1863.

GIRL: I think Lincoln was humane -

RPW: both humane, on the whole.

GIRL: Yes, they were.

RPW: This created certain problems, though, about not easy. I saw in the paper - the Jackson paper - last Sunday did you see it?

the magazine section did you see that? Last Sunday in the Jackson magazine paper called the Sunday paper, the magazine section A great tribute to Lincoln - in the Jackson paper.

BOY: and RPW: (Indistinct exchange)

BOY: That was a half page advertisement for the Citizens Council with a big portrait of Lincoln up there and it had the - some of the statements that he made in his arguments with Stephen Douglas.

RPW: Oh, yes, that's the same sort of thing - it says the same thing. That's - well, I don't - I'm just bringing these matters up to see what you say. One thing is this - has there been a change in a world where Lincoln states himself as a racist - the present world. I don't think we all think so - not changed.

BOY: Well, I don't think it has, because before the Civil War you had the Abolitionists, and now you have the -
RPW: Were they racists? What did they think about the Negro and white races?

GIRL: Some of them believed in equality like Jefferson.

RPW: Jefferson - well, he wasn't an Abolitionist. He was a Southern slaveholder from the beginning. He didn't approve of slavery but he was -

GIRL: But from the different reports in magazines about Negroes and whites, I don't believe that the situation has changed at all because everybody is going to be prejudiced to some extent, black or white, and back in the slave days we found a few liberals - and they were letting the slaves go free. But that didn't mean that they were equal citizens

And now we have the same thing. You'll find some people who want the Negroes to have their rights but they don't want them marrying their sons or daughters, and most Negroes that I have met don't want to marry their sons or daughters. All they want is their rights. But -

RPW: That's getting a little off the point, isn't it - about denial of - it may be on the point, but I mean it's - in the theory that they are superior or inferior races, it never crossed anybody's mind,

one eminent historian says, in 1865, there was not a man in the country who was not a racist - not a white man who wasn't a racist. That's not quite true now, is it?

GIRL: No, not now. Because there are a lot of whites in the movement.
RPW: That's the test, is it?
GIRL: That's what I consider -
RPW: The only test?
GIRL: That I know of.
RPW: It's a test - it's a feasible test. I understand that at
some times there is considerable resentment in the movement -
various aspects of the movement - to have white people come in
and participate.
GIRL: That's true.
RPW: What is the nature
GIRL: Well, some of the workers seem to think that whites
the movement because they feel that the white man
wants to always be superior and give Negroes their
so they want to come in and govern all the actions of the organi-
sation - usually they're the officials of
the organization - the whites - and they feel the white man has
to be superior so he comes into the organization to be superior.
And others feel that when you go into a rural community the
Negroes and whites have the caste system, and the Negro group will
get afraid and won't, like, participate in the movement
because the whites are there and they don't trust white men.
RPW: Well, that is - that's a practical matter, isn't it - the
white man can't walk into a back country community and get com-
munication that fast. That's a practical matter. That's not a
question of resentment because he's president of the movement.
GIRL: Well, they want the movement to forward with nothing to set it back.

RPW: And the president, the white man, is -

GIRL: They feel sets it back.

RPW: Also presently the white man is resented because he wants to take over the whole show, is that it?

GIRL: Right. But I'm not in agreement with And some seem to think in the movement.

RPW: The white man does?

GIRL: Yes.

RPW: I'm afraid that may be true sometimes.

BOY: And in fact I think you have a lot of Negro leaders who want to take the credit themselves for what they've done - what they've achieved, and not to have any - not to say that we did it together but that the Negro did it.

RPW: This is human, too, isn't it?

GIRL: Yes.

RPW: To want to take credit - grab all - We all know that there are certain divisions of any Negro leadership with no common ground about general policies, that their struggles for power and struggles in terms of organizational loyalties - struggles for promise of newspaper space - all these things, then, too - what does this - how does it affect your own loyalties and your own feelings about the movement?
BOY: I'd like to say this -

RPW: Say it.

BOY: I have found, in working with the various phases of the movement, that some organizations are very - want to receive credit. I can see where it would - it might do for them to receive credit, whereby they might receive more money and, you know, . And it's sort of scattering it out. I feel that a united - everyone is - I feel that everyone is striving for the same thing within their own direction. Some feel that direct action demonstrations and like that Some feel that we should fight these things through the courts. Some feel that we shouldn't - we should fight them directly. And there are different philosophies toward achieving what we have in mind. It's often said that there's a split in the organization, the Negro movement. There might be a split in philosophy of how to go about this. But the aims of each organization I feel are the same and that equality in all phases. Not only the Negro - not a kind of Negro movement or a Chinese or Indian or Porto Rican or any other kind of movement. This is just a human right that we're fighting for.

RPW: What about anti-Semitism among the Negroes? How much of that do you observe?

BOY: Well, I know the many communities I come from, and since I have been here in college I haven't noticed any.

RPW: You'd know it intentions write
about it and deplore it and write about it and abhor it and — it still exists. Clearly it doesn't affect either the philosophy of the movement or but I was curious to know if it was observable around here.

GIRL:

and usually when — the only anti-Semitism that I hear of is when people are talking about [name] views and differences — they always say especially if

RW: Harlem too. business is owned by Jews in Harlem I understand - mostly property sales -

BOY: I think in our Sunday Schools - or in Sunday School classes you hear things about Jesus Christ was crucified by a — well, — he was a Jew himself but he was a Jacobite - a Jew and all that sort of thing, and then you hear the stories about the Jews not wanting the Samaritans coming through their land, but yet the Good Samaritan saved —

RPW: Hold it — sorry. End of Tape #1 with students of Tougaloo College. Resume on Tape #2.

(end of tape)